



**UNIVERSITY OF CUMBRIA**  
**COURSEWORK REASSESSMENT REQUIREMENT**

**Module Code: MAPP 7011**

**Module Title: Negotiated Study**

**Tutor: Pippa Leslie**

**Title of the item of work:** Reworking of original title: 'A critical and systematic study of an aspect of practice relevant to your professional setting'

**Wordage:**

**Part A Rationale 2000 words**

**Part B Portfolio 3000 words**

**Details and Criteria: ( Please attach additional sheets if necessary)**

**Title: 'A critical and systematic study of an aspect of practice relevant to your professional setting'**

Only resubmit element/s that have failed:

The first element of the summative assignment can make use of your formative work (you can reuse as much of this as you wish), and will outline the rationale for your work, providing an overview, references to key literature and theories/frameworks as relevant. You should also briefly refer to ethical issues here (as relevant) and also any theoretical/research approaches as needed. Do state if you are taking a reflective approach (and refer to relevant reflection theories/models), or refer to relevant methodology if taking a research approach. Are you using qualitative approaches? Is this action research or practitioner research? Are you using a case study approach? These elements should be clear in part one, the first section.

Part B: The second element is called the portfolio, and you can be as flexible/imaginative with this as you wish. Consider the use of diagrams, graphics, tables and visuals and so on, as well as the use of sub headings where relevant. Please discuss with me if you need further advice. This longer section should present your results/conclusions/analysis/discussion as relevant and refer back to key literature/theories and frameworks as necessary. Please note that your portfolio can be submitted in up to three parts to allow you to submit in different formats if you would like to e.g. a word document and a powerpoint presentation as a pdf. Whatever formats you choose - content should be underpinned with academic rigour and referenced.

Any conclusions or final thoughts should be kept within the context of your work/experiences. You can also refer to future work or directions you might take, as the work for this module is often exploratory work/reading with future, broader research in mind.

Please note that the final reference list is not included in the word count.

### **Summary**

#### Part 1

Rationale for choice of negotiated study with reference to educational context and relevant literature and professional/theoretical frameworks and ethical considerations.

#### Part 2

Portfolio of findings/ evidence and analysis to show how theory relates to practice - demonstrating emerging synthesis

Includes revised ethical clearance form if relevant.

### **SUBMISSION DATE AS PER STUDENT PORTAL**

To be submitted by **16:00 PM** on **12/08/2022** via Turnitin on the Module Blackboard site.