

UNIVERSITY OF CUMBRIA COURSEWORK REASSESSMENT REQUIREMENT

| Module Code: |
|-------------------------------|
| LAWS5208 / 6199 |
| Module Title: |
| |
| Human Rights |
| Tutor: |
| |
| F Boyle |
| Title of the item of work: |
| |
| Human Rights resit assessment |
| Wordage: |
| |
| 3000 |
| |

Details and Criteria: (Please attach additional sheets if necessary)

Article 8 is a qualified right. Using case law to illustrate your answer, explain what qualifaction means and discuss how it affects Article 8 immigration claims under the Human Rights Act.

Assessment Criteria

The table below provides guidance on the criteria that will be applied by tutors when marking **written submissions**. There are 5 broad categories below.

Within those categories, the left hand column provides examples of the strengths that will gain a good mark and the right hand column provides examples of the weaknesses that will not.

Please note that these are indicative and are not intended as a comprehensive list of every feature that could be in a coursework submission. Please note also that the mark you are awarded reflects the tutor's overall impression of the coursework submission, based on these criteria and the module learning outcomes (on the Blackboard module site) and university grade descriptors (see

http://www.cumbria.ac.uk/Public/AQS/Documents/AcademicRegulations/3a.pdf). In other words, while you may receive a high mark there will almost certainly still be areas of weakness. Equally, you may receive a low mark but there could still be strengths in your work. You should take note of the feedback, build on your strengths and learn from and minimise your weaknesses.

| Strengths | Weakness |
|--|--|
| Knowledge - thorough knowledge of relevant law; issues are clearly identified. | Knowledge - little knowledge of issues are not clearly identified. |
| Understanding & Application - relevant law is accurately applied to the facts (problem questions); relevant policy issues are accurately linked to legal issues (essay questions). | Understanding & Application - not accurately applied to the facts questions); relevant policy issues accurately linked to legal issues (e |
| Analysis & Evaluation - coherent argument supported by relevant evidence; shows clarity of thought; effective and appropriate use of legal authorities or other academic sources to support argument. | Analysis & Evaluation - ramblin argument unsupported by relevan clarity of thought; ineffective or ir of legal authorities or other acade support argument. |
| Research - effective use of primary sources (cases, statutes, official reports); use of academic journal articles; evidence of wider reading and independent research. | Research - mainly textbook mater primary sources (cases, statutes, little use of academic journal article evidence of wider reading or indepresearch. |
| Structure & Presentation - logical progression of argument; appropriate use of paragraphs and sub-headings; conclusion relates to main body of answer. Clarity of expression; good use of language, grammar, spelling; sources acknowledged, accurate and appropriate referencing; well-presented bibliography | Structure & presentation - lack progression of argument; inappro paragraphs and sub-headings; counrelated to main body of answer expression; poor use of language, spelling; sources unacknowledged inappropriate referencing; poorly bibliography or no bibliography. |

SUBMISSION DATE AS PER STUDENT PORTAL

х

To be submitted through the module Blackboard site by 12 August 2022